J<br>Cito this: Ora Pioma Cite this: *Org. Biomol. Chem.,* 2012, **10**, 5245

<www.rsc.org/obc> **PAPER** 

# Enantioselective synthesis of 3,3′-dihydropyrryl-spirooxindoles via an organocatalytic three-component reaction†

Wen-Tao Wei, Chun-Xia Chen, Rui-Jiong Lu, Jin-Jia Wang, Xue-Jing Zhang and Ming Yan\*

Received 27th March 2012, Accepted 17th May 2012 DOI: 10.1039/c2ob25629k

An organocatalytic three-component reaction of isatins, malononitrile and isocyanoacetates provided 3,3′-dihydropyrryl-spirooxindoles in excellent yields and enantioselectivities. The products could be readily converted to valuable 3,3′-pyrrolidinyl-spirooxindoles.

### Introduction

The 3,3′-pyrrolidinyl-spirooxindole scaffold is the common core of a large family of alkaloids and medicinally relevant compounds.1 Many chiral 3,3′-pyrrolidinyl-spirooxindoles, such as coerulescine, horsfiline, spirotryprostatins A and elacomine show interesting biological activities (Scheme 1). Extensive efforts have been made to develop efficient synthetic methods for these compounds.<sup>2</sup> In recent years, organocatalytic cascade reactions have proven to be extremely useful for the synthesis of chiral cyclic compounds.<sup>3</sup> A number of excellent examples have been reported for the preparation of chiral spirooxindoles via organocatalytic cascade reactions.<sup>4</sup> Wang and co-workers reported the organocatalytic double Michael addition of α,β-unsaturated ketones to isatylidene malononitriles. 3,3′-Cyclohexanyl-spirooxindoles were obtained in excellent yields and enantioselectivities.<sup>4e</sup> Lu and co-workers developed an highly enantioselective [3 + 2] annulation of Morita–Baylis–Hillman adducts and isatylidene malononitriles catalyzed by threonine-derived chiral phosphines. The reaction provided chiral 3,3′-cyclopentenylspirooxindoles efficiently. $^{4i}$  Yuan and co-workers reported the organocatalytic three-component reactions of isatins, malononitrile and 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds. A range of 3,3′-(4Hpyranyl)-spirooxindoles were obtained in good yields and enantioselectivities. $4^j$  Recently we also found that the cascade Michael–Michael–oxa-Michael reaction of curcumins and isatylidene malononitriles provided multicyclic spirooxindoles in excellent yields.<sup>4k</sup> Isocyanoacetates are highly attractive nucleophilic reagents for a number of cascade reactions and multi-component reactions.<sup>5</sup> Their α-protons are readily removed by bases to generate nucleophilic anions. The resulting reaction **Communited California - San Diego on California - San Diego on Oliver 2012 Published on 2012 Published on 2012 on the California - San Diego on California - San Diego on California - San Diego on 2012 Published on 2012 P** 



Scheme 1 Representative examples of bioactive 3,3'-pyrrolidinylspirooxindole natural products.

intermediates are subsequently trapped by the isocyano groups to provide cyclic products. Asymmetric organocatalytic cascade reaction of isocyanoacetates with aldehydes,<sup>6</sup> imines,<sup>7</sup> α,β-unsaturated ketones,<sup>8</sup> nitroolefins<sup>9</sup> and azodicarboxylates<sup>10</sup> have been developed. A variety of chiral nitrogen-containing heterocyclic compounds were obtained in good yields and enantioselectivities. In this paper, we report an organocatalytic threecomponent reaction of isatins, malononitrile and isocyanoacetates. Dihydropyrryl-spirooxindoles could be prepared in excellent yields and enantioselectivities.

## Results and discussion

Initially we examined the reaction of isatylidene malononitrile and methyl isocyanoacetate 3a in the presence of Takemoto's catalyst 4c (Scheme 2, eqn (1)). The reaction gave 3,3′-dihydropyrryl-spirooxindoles 5a and 5a′ in excellent yields and enantioselectivities. Since isatylidene malononitriles are readily generated from isatin and malononitrile, we further investigated the three-component reaction of isatin 1a, malononitrile 2a and isocyanoacetate 3a. To our delight, similar yield,

<sup>†</sup>Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Including the characterization data of the products and HPLC chromatograms. CCDC 859158. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c2ob25629k Institute of Drug Synthesis and Pharmaceutical Process, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510006, China. E-mail: yanming@mail.sysu.edu.cn; Fax: +86-20-39943049

dene malononitrile.



Scheme 2 Organocatalytic reactions of isocyanoacetate 3a with isatyli-



Scheme 3 Organocatalysts examined in the reaction.

enantioselectivities and diastereoselectivities were observed (Scheme 2, eqn (2)). The result suggests that the formation of isatylidene malononitrile is fast enough and the reaction can be carried out in one pot.

Cinchona alkaloids 4a–4b and chiral tertiary amine-thioureas 4c–4f were examined as the organocatalysts in the three-component reaction of isatin 1a, malononitrile 2a and isocyanoacetate 3a (Scheme 3). The results are summarized in Table 1. Quinine 4a provided the products 5a/5a′ in good yield and moderate enantioselectivities (Table 1, entry 1). The 6′-demethyl quinine 4b gave similar yield, but with lower enantioselectivities (Table 1, entry 2). Takemoto's catalyst 4c provided excellent yield and enantioselectivities (Table 1, entry 3). More sterically demanding catalysts 4d and 4e led to lower enantioselectivities (Table 1, entries 4–5). Tertiary amine-thiourea 4f derived from quinine was found to give the product 5a with the best enantioselectivity (Table 1, entry 6).

Furthermore, the effect of the reaction solvents was examined. Slightly better yields were observed for the reactions in toluene, ethyl acetate, acetonitrile and methanol; however, lower enantioselectivities were obtained (Table 1, entries 7–10). The reactions in several other solvents also provided inferior results (Table 1, entries 11–14). Decreasing the reaction temperature is slightly beneficial to the enantioselectivity, but an extended reaction time was required (Table 1, entries 15–17). The enantioselectivity and diastereoselectivity remained almost unchanged while the catalyst loading was reduced from 10 to 1 mol%; however, longer reaction time was necessary (Table 1, entries 18–20). The 2 mol % catalyst loading was preferred in terms of good yield and reasonable reaction time (Table 1, entry 19).

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, the threecomponent reaction of isatins 1a–1k, malononitrile 2a and isocyanoacetate 3a were investigated. The results are summarized in Table 2. The influence of the N-substitution of isatins was firstly studied. The N-benzyl substituted isatin 1b gave a similar yield and enantioselectivities to N-methyl substituted isatin 1a, but better diastereoselectivity was obtained (Table 2, entry 2). When the N-unsubstituted isatin 1c was used, a slight loss of enantioselectivity was observed (Table 2, entry 3). The introduction of electron-withdrawing groups such as tert-butoxylcarbonyl and acetyl completely inhibited the reaction (Table 2, entries 4 and 5). A variety of isatins with substitutions at the benzene ring were also examined (Table 2, entries 6–11). The 4-halogen substitutions led to lower yields and enantioselectivities (Table 2, entries 6 and 7). The substitutions of halogen and methoxyl at 5, 6 or 7-position increased the diastereoselectivities, but slightly decreased the yields and enantioselectivities (Table 2, entries 8–11).

The relative and absolute configurations of the product 5g were determined by X-ray diffraction analysis (Fig. 1).† The configurations of other products were assigned analogously.<sup>11</sup>

The effect of α-substituents on the isocyanoacetates was also studied (Scheme 4). α-Unsubstituted isocyanoacetate 3b provided the spirooxindole 5l in good yield, but with poor enantioselectivity. In addition, the 1,5-double bond of the dihydropyrrole ring migrated to the 1,2-position. The p-methoxyl-phenyl and p-chloro-phenyl substituted isocyanoacetates 3c and 3d gave the products with good yields and excellent enantioselectivities. The o-chloro-phenyl substituted isocyanoacetate 3e is unreactive, probably due to the streric hindrance. The  $\alpha$ -isopropyl isocyanoacetate 3f and  $\alpha$ -benzyl isocyanoacetate 3g are also unreactive in the transformation. The results confirm that the  $\alpha$ -aryl substitution is crucial for achieving good reactivity and enantioselectivity.

Several analogous nucleophiles of malononitrile including methyl cyanoacetate, diethyl malonate, and ethyl nitroacetate were examined in the one pot reaction with isatin 1a and isocyanoacetate 3a, but no expected 3,3′-dihydropyrryl-spirooxindole was obtained.

A plausible reaction mechanism is proposed (Scheme 5). $4j$ Initially the fast Knoevenagel condensation of isatin 1a and malononitrile 2a affords isatylidene malononitrile. The deprotonation of methyl α-phenyl-isocyanoacetate 3a in the presence of organocatalyst 4f generates the nucleophilic anion, which is expected to form an ion pair with protonated 4f. In addition, the H-bond interaction of 4f with isatylidene malononitrile increases



|  |                | 1a                              | O<br>NC<br>፡೧<br>$^{+}$<br>NC<br>Me<br>2a | COOMe<br>`Ph<br>CN <sup>'</sup><br>3a |                            |                    |              |
|--|----------------|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| "COOMe<br>Ph<br>N <sub>C</sub><br>COOMe<br>Ph<br>4a-4f<br>$\varepsilon \geq 0$<br>ΞO<br>$\ddot{}$<br>conditions<br>Me<br>Me<br>5a<br>5a' |                |                                 |   |                                       |                            |                    |              |
| En   | Cat. $(mol\%)$ | Solvent                         | $T (^{\circ}C)$                           | Time (h)                              | Yield <sup>b</sup> $(\% )$ | $5a:5a^{\prime^c}$ | $ee^{d}$ (%) |
| 1  | 4a(10)         | CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> | <b>RT</b>                                 | $\mathfrak{2}$                        | 80                         | 84:16              | 56/35        |
| $\mathfrak{2}$   | 4b(10)         | $CH_2Cl_2$                      | <b>RT</b>                                 | 5                                     | 82                         | 75:25              | 0/12         |
| 3  | 4c(10)         | $CH_2Cl_2$                      | <b>RT</b>                                 | 3                                     | 90                         | 80:20              | 95/96        |
| 4  | 4 $d(10)$      | CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> | <b>RT</b>                                 | 1                                     | 85                         | 80:20              | 88/90        |
| 5  | 4e(10)         | CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> | <b>RT</b>                                 | 3                                     | 83                         | 67:33              | 92/95        |
| 6  | 4f(10)         | CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> | <b>RT</b>                                 | $\overline{2}$                        | 89                         | 80:20              | 97/94        |
| 7  | 4f(10)         | Toluene                         | <b>RT</b>                                 | $\mathbf{1}$                          | 93                         | 78:22              | 93/95        |
| 8  | 4f(10)         | AcOEt                           | <b>RT</b>                                 | $\mathfrak{2}$                        | 94                         | 80:20              | 93/93        |
| 9  | 4f(10)         | CH <sub>3</sub> CN              | <b>RT</b>                                 | 8                                     | 95                         | 73:27              | 78/72        |
| 10   | 4f(10)         | CH <sub>3</sub> OH              | <b>RT</b>                                 | 1                                     | 93                         | 80:20              | 0/13         |
| 11   | 4f(10)         | 1,4-Dioxane                     | <b>RT</b>                                 | 4                                     | 90                         | 78:22              | 92/94        |
| 12   | 4f(10)         | $(CH_2Cl)_2$                    | <b>RT</b>                                 | 20                                    | 90                         | 78:22              | 95/95        |
| 13   | 4f(10)         | <b>THF</b>                      | <b>RT</b>                                 | 20                                    | 73                         | 86:14              | 93/92        |
| 14   | 4f(10)         | CHCl <sub>3</sub>               | <b>RT</b>                                 | 1                                     | 84                         | 75:15              | 96/92        |
| 15   | 4f(10)         | CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> | $\overline{0}$                            | 4                                     | 92                         | 78:22              | 97/95        |
| 16   | 4f(10)         | CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> | $-20$                                     | 12                                    | 88                         | 78:22              | 98/95        |
| 17   | 4f(10)         | CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> | $-40$                                     | 20                                    | 83                         | 80:20              | 99/96        |
| 18   | 4f(5)          | $CH_2Cl_2$                      | <b>RT</b>                                 | 3                                     | 92                         | 78:22              | 97/94        |
|  | 4f(2)          | CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> | <b>RT</b>                                 | 8                                     | 92                         | 78:22              | 97/94        |
| 19<br>20   | 4f(1)          | CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> | <b>RT</b>                                 | 30                                    | 85                         | 80:20              | 97/94        |

<sup>a</sup> The reactions were carried out with 1a (0.050 mmol), 2a (0.050 mmol) and 3a (0.055 mmol). <sup>b</sup> Combined yields of 5a and 5a' after column chromatography. <sup>c</sup> Determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture. <sup>d</sup> Determined by chiral HPLC.

its electrophilic reactivity and also directs the attack of the isocyanoacetate anion from the si-face of the double bond. The resulting intermediate B undergoes the intramolecular cycloaddition to give the products  $5a/5a'$ . The  $\pi-\pi$  interaction of isatylidene malononitrile with α-phenyl-isocyanoacetate anion accounts for the preferential formation of 5a.

The product  $5a$  was further treated with NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN. Selective reduction of the imine group was achieved to give 3,3′ pyrrolidinyl-spirooxindole 6a in excellent yield and enantioselectivity (Scheme 6).

#### Conclusion

In conclusion, we have developed an organocatalytic threecomponent reaction of isatins, malononitrile and α-aryl-isocyanoacetates. The thiourea derived from quinine was identified as the most efficient catalyst. A number of 3,3′-dihydropyrrylspirooxindoles were prepared in excellent yields and enantioselectivities. The products can be readily converted to valuable 3,3′-pyrrolidinyl-spirooxindoles via a selective reduction. This new method is highly attractive for the synthesis of spirooxindole derivatives in terms of the convenience and efficiency.

## Experimental

#### General

1a (32.2 mg, 0.200 mmol), 2a (13.2 mg, 0.200 mmol), 3a (38.8 mg, 0.220 mmol), 4f (2.3 mg, 0.004 mmol) and dichloromethane (2 mL) in a flask were stirred at room temperature for 8 h. After evaporation of the solvent under vacuum, the residue was separated by flash chromatography over silica gel to give 5a and 5a′ (70.6 g, 92% yield) as white solids.

(2′S,3R)-Methyl-4′,4′-dicyano-1-methyl-2-oxo-2′-phenyl-2′,4′ dihydrospiro[indoline-3,3′-pyrrole]-2′-carboxylate (5a). The product was obtained following the general procedure. White solid. Mp 239-241 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 8.17 (s, 1H), 7.46–7.41 (m, 2H), 7.38–7.34 (m, 2H), 7.04 (d,  $J =$ 7.2 Hz, 2H), 6.97 (d,  $J = 7.9$  Hz, 1H), 6.83 (t,  $J = 7.7$  Hz, 1H), 5.92 (d,  $J = 7.7$  Hz, 1H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.31 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 173.48, 169.84, 152.75, 145.18, 131.19, 130.63, 130.18, 128.67, 127.98, 127.28, 122.47, 119.52, 109.77, 109.04, 108.79, 91.74, 64.31, 53.85, 51.23, 26.97; IR (KBr, thin film)  $v/cm^{-1}$ : 2920, 2851, 1732, 1710, 1611, 1599, 1564, 1473, 1297, 1101, 1074, 577; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{22}H_{16}N_4NaO_3$  $(M + Na)^{+}$ : 407.1115, found: 407.1114;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = 25.6$  (c 1.0,  $CHCl<sub>3</sub>$ ); The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC Table 2 Organocatalytic three-component reaction of isatins 1a–1k, malononitrile 2a and isocyanoacetate 3a<sup>a</sup>





<sup>a</sup> The reactions were carried out with  $1a-1k$  (0.200 mmol),  $2a$  (0.200 mmol),  $3a$  (0.220 mmol) and  $4f$  (0.004 mmol) in dichloromethane (2 mL) at room temperature. <sup>b</sup> Combined yields of 5/5<sup>*r*</sup> after column chromatography. <sup>c</sup> Determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture.



Fig. 1 X-ray structure of 5g.



Scheme 4 Reaction of α-substituted isocyanoacetates with isatin 1a and malononitrile 2a.



Scheme 5 Proposed reaction mechanism.



Scheme 6 Preparation of 3,3'-pyrrolidinyl-spirooxindole 6a.

with Chiralpak AD column (hexane– $i$ PrOH = 85/15, 0.8 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, 208 nm),  $t_R$  (major) = 34.4 min,  $t_R$  (minor) = 55.7 min, 97% ee).

(2′R,3R)-Methyl-4′,4′-dicyano-1-methyl-2-oxo-2′-phenyl-2′,4′ dihydrospiro[indoline-3,3′-pyrrole]-2′-carboxylate (5a′). The product was obtained following the general procedure. White solid. Mp 225–227 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 8.10  $(s, 1H), 7.56$  (t,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 2H), 7.28 (d,  $J = 7.0$  Hz, 2H), 7.21  $(t, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 7.12 \text{ (d, } J = 7.9 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 6.93 \text{ (d, } J = 7.7 \text{ Hz},$ 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 2.83 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 170.17, 166.86, 154.18, 145.16, 135.02, 131.85, 128.89, 127.85, 126.64, 126.39, 123.74, 119.54, 110.49, 109.54, 109.27, 90.09, 53.46, 51.58, 29.68, 26.29; IR (KBr, thin film)  $v/cm^{-1}$ : 2925, 2030, 1728, 1613, 1461, 1386, 1243, 1157, 1082, 577; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{22}H_{16}N_4NaO_3$  (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>: 407.1115, found: 407.1115;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = 28.3$  (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralpak AD column (hexane–  $i\text{PrOH} = 85/15, 0.8 \text{ mL min}^{-1}, 208 \text{ nm}$ ,  $t_{\text{R}}$  (major) = 27.2 min,  $t_{\text{R}}$  (minor) = 36.6 min, 94% ee).

(2′S,3R)-Methyl-1-benzyl-4′,4′-dicyano-2-oxo-2′-phenyl-2′,4′ dihydrospiro[indoline-3,3′-pyrrole]-2′-carboxylate (5b). The product was obtained following the general procedure. White solid. Mp 196–198 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 8.20  $(s, 1H)$ , 7.45 (t,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 3H), 7.40–7.33 (m, 4H), 7.28 (dd,  $J = 8.9, 7.8$  Hz, 2H), 7.08 (d,  $J = 7.3$  Hz, 2H), 6.83–6.76 (m, 2H), 5.91 (d,  $J = 7.7$  Hz, 1H), 5.04 (d,  $J = 15.7$  Hz, 1H), 4.93 (d,  $J = 15.7$  Hz, 1H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.58 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 173.67, 169.76, 152.75, 144.44, 134.64, 131.02, 130.51, 130.19, 128.87, 128.65, 128.00, 127.96, 127.71, 127.34, 122.41, 119.50, 110.22, 109.92, 108.73, 91.72, 64.29, 53.85, 51.37, 44.90; IR (KBr, thin film)  $v/cm^{-1}$ : 3063, 2955, 1737, 1706, 1610, 1564, 1131, 1077, 576; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{28}H_{20}N_4NaO_3$  (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>: 483.1428, found: 483.1430;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = 38.5$  (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralpak AD column (hexane– $i$ PrOH = 85/15, 0.8 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, 230 nm),  $t_R$  (major) = 18.9 min,  $t_R$  $(minor) = 62.8 \text{ min}, 96\% \text{ ee}.$ 

(2′R,3R)-Methyl-1-benzyl-4′,4′-dicyano-2-oxo-2′-phenyl-2′,4′ dihydrospiro[indoline-3,3′-pyrrole]-2′-carboxylate (5b′). The product was obtained following the general procedure. White solid. Mp 165–167 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 8.14 (s, 1H), 7.57 (d,  $J = 7.7$  Hz, 1H), 7.46–7.40 (m, 1H), 7.31–7.27 (m, 1H),  $7.25-7.21$  (m, 4H),  $7.21-7.15$  (m, 4H),  $7.00$  (dd,  $J = 6.5$ , 2.9 Hz, 2H), 6.83 (d,  $J = 7.9$  Hz, 1H), 4.51 (s, 2H), 3.81 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 170.49, 166.94, 154.16, 144.41, 134.90, 134.15, 131.74, 128.82, 128.64, 128.13, 127.95, 127.70, 126.81, 126.54, 123.73, 119.47, 110.60, 110.35, 109.48, 89.66, 65.96, 53.51, 52.08, 44.47; IR (KBr, thin film)  $v/cm^{-1}$ : 3057, 2928, 2032, 1728, 1612, 1456, 1386, 1259, 1163, 1076, 577; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{28}H_{20}N_4NaO_3$  (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>: 483.1428, found: 483.1422;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = 40.0$  (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralpak AD column (hexane–*i*PrOH = 85/15, 0.8 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, 230 nm),  $t_R$  $(major) = 27.5 min, t<sub>R</sub> (minor) = 63.3 min, 94% ee).$ 

(2′S,3R)-Methyl-4′,4′-dicyano-2-oxo-2′-phenyl-2′,4′-dihydrospiro[indoline-3,3′-pyrrole]-2′-carboxylate (5c). The product

was obtained following the general procedure. White solid. Mp 109–111 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 8.17 (s, 1H), 8.03  $(s, 1H)$ , 7.45 (d,  $J = 6.3$  Hz, 1H), 7.40–7.30 (m, 3H), 7.08 (d,  $J = 7.3$  Hz, 2H), 6.99 (d,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H), 6.81 (t,  $J = 7.7$  Hz, 1H), 5.91 (d,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 175.46, 169.80, 153.04, 142.39, 131.17, 130.49, 130.23, 128.71, 128.18, 127.29, 122.39, 120.01, 110.95, 109.79, 108.62, 91.67, 64.84, 53.91, 51.37; IR (KBr, thin film) v/cm<sup>-1</sup>: 2957, 2925, 2852, 1780, 1735, 1620, 1599, 1472, 1398, 1297, 1197, 1077, 603; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{21}H_{14}N_4NaO_3$  $(M + Na)^{+}$ : 393.0958, found: 393.0952;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = 61.7$  (c 1.0, CHCl3); The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralpak AD column (hexane– $i$ PrOH = 85/15, 0.8 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, 230 nm),  $t_R$  (major) = 23.0 min,  $t_R$  (minor) = 56.3 min, 92% ee).

(2′R,3R)-Methyl-4′,4′-dicyano-2-oxo-2′-phenyl-2′,4′-dihydrospiro[indoline-3,3′-pyrrole]-2′-carboxylate (5c′). The product was obtained following the general procedure. White solid. Mp 185-187 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 8.09 (s, 1H), 7.56 (d,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.51 (d,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.47 (d,  $J =$ 6.7 Hz, 1H),  $7.28-7.23$  (m, 5H), 6.95 (d,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 172.16, 166.83, 153.94, 142.01, 135.01, 131.74, 128.95, 128.17, 126.73, 126.57, 123.74, 120.11, 111.29, 110.40, 109.32, 89.98, 66.19, 53.50, 51.97; IR (KBr, thin film)  $v/cm^{-1}$ : 2923, 2852, 1793, 1601, 1564, 1435, 1325, 1269, 1230, 1022, 577; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{21}H_{14}NaN_4O_3$  (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>: 393.0958, found: 393.0958;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = 22.5$  (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralpak OD column (hexane– $i$ PrOH = 85/15, 0.8 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, 208 nm),  $t_R$  (major) = 16.5 min,  $t_{\text{R}}$  (minor) = 14.5 min, 82% ee). with Chinipak AD column (hexane-iPoH = 8575, 0.3 mL<br>
with chinipal  $\alpha$  (migro) - 3.4 min,  $\alpha$  (migro) - 3.5.7 min, (100-111 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDC1)  $\alpha$ , 3.11/published on 2012 Published on 11  $\alpha$ , 208 (m) - 3.6 (

(2′S,3S)-Methyl-4-chloro-4′,4′-dicyano-1-methyl-2-oxo-2′-phenyl-2',4'-dihydrospiro[indoline-3,3'-pyrrole]-2'-carboxylate (5f). The product was obtained following the general procedure. White solid. Mp 245–248 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 8.06 (s, 1H), 7.18 (t,  $J = 8.1$  Hz, 2H), 7.11 (d,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 2H), 7.04 (s, 2H), 6.81 (d,  $J = 7.9$  Hz, 1H), 6.71 (d,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 1H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.42 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 168.99, 166.14, 153.26, 145.03, 134.04, 132.65, 132.00, 128.58, 127.30, 126.99, 125.39, 122.60, 109.81, 107.83, 107.48, 93.28, 66.28, 53.64, 52.63, 27.73; IR (KBr, thin film)  $v/cm^{-1}$ : 3063, 2957, 1742, 1720, 1659, 1604, 1564, 1428, 1128, 1010, 596; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{22}H_{15}N_4NaO_3Cl$  (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>: 441.0725, found: 441.0726;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -155.5$  (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralpak AD column (hexane–iPrOH =  $85/15$ , 0.8 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, 254 nm),  $t_R$  (major) = 40.1 min,  $t_{\text{R}}$  (minor) = 29.1 min, 92% ee).

(2′S,3S)-Methyl-4-bromo-4′,4′-dicyano-1-methyl-2-oxo-2′-phenyl-2′,4′-dihydrospiro[indoline-3,3′-pyrrole]-2′-carboxylate (5g). The product was obtained following the general procedure. White solid. Mp 250–253 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 8.09 (s, 1H), 7.39–7.30 (m, 1H), 7.14–7.08 (m, 3H), 7.04 (s, 2H), 6.92  $(d, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 6.86 (d, J = 7.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 3.86 (s, 3\text{H}), 3.41$ (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 168.95, 166.38, 153.66, 145.41, 133.94, 131.95, 129.10, 128.58, 127.58, 126.95, 124.39, 121.66, 109.88, 108.04, 107.82, 93.45, 66.60, 53.67, 52.65, 27.70; IR (KBr, thin film)  $v/cm^{-1}$ : 3086, 2957, 1742,

1721, 1659, 1601, 1564, 1459, 1243, 1008, 595; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{22}H_{15}N_4NaO_3Br$  (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>: 485.0220, found: 485.0221;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -203.8$  (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralpak AD column (hexane–iPrOH = 85/15, 0.8 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, 254 nm),  $t_R$  (major) = 46.3 min,  $t_{\text{R}}$  (minor) = 30.9 min, 82% ee).

(2′S,3R)-Methyl-5-chloro-4′,4′-dicyano-2-oxo-2′-phenyl-2′,4′ dihydrospiro[indoline-3,3′-pyrrole]-2′-carboxylate (5h). The product was obtained following the general procedure. White solid. Mp 245–248 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 8.16 (s, 1H), 7.50 (d,  $J = 7.3$  Hz, 1H), 7.43–7.38 (m, 3H), 7.03 (d,  $J =$ 6.9 Hz, 2H), 6.90 (d,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, 1H), 5.76 (d,  $J = 1.8$  Hz, 1H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.29 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 173.01, 169.71, 152.56, 143.69, 131.05, 130.53, 130.07, 128.80, 128.39, 127.98, 127.11, 121.07, 109.86, 109.51, 108.46, 92.08, 64.07, 53.96, 50.89, 27.08; IR (KBr, thin film) ν/cm−<sup>1</sup> : 2921, 2851, 1716, 1603, 1564, 1489, 1356, 1148, 579; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{22}H_{15}N_4NaO_3Cl$  (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>: 441.0725, found: 441.0725;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = 76.6$  (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralpak AD column (hexane–  $i$ PrOH = 85/15, 0.8 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, 230 nm),  $t_R$  (major) = 46.2 min,  $t_{\text{R}}$  (minor) = 58.8 min, 96% ee).

(2′R,3R)-Methyl-5-chloro-4′,4′-dicyano-2-oxo-2′-phenyl-2′,4′ dihydrospiro[indoline-3,3′-pyrrole]-2′-carboxylate (5h′). The product was obtained following the general procedure. White solid. Mp 129–131 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 8.08 (s, 1H), 7.59 (s, 1H), 7.54 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (s, 1H), 7.22 (t,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 2H), 7.11 (d,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 2H), 6.85 (d,  $J =$ 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 2.81 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 169.78, 166.85, 154.06, 143.72, 134.69, 131.81, 129.28, 129.04, 127.94, 127.16, 126.58, 121.25, 110.21, 110.06, 109.21, 90.38, 65.55, 53.65, 51.44, 26.40; IR (KBr, thin film) ν/cm−<sup>1</sup> : 2919, 2850, 1729, 1602, 1564, 1491, 1144, 577; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{22}H_{15}N_4NaO_3Cl$  (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>: 441.0725, found: 441.0722;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = 23.3$  (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralpak AD column (hexane–  $i$ PrOH = 85/15, 0.8 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, 254 nm),  $t<sub>R</sub>$  (major) = 26.2 min,  $t_{\text{R}}$  (minor) = 37.0 min, 92% ee).

(2′S,3R)-Methyl-7-bromo-4′,4′-dicyano-1-methyl-2-oxo-2′-phenyl-2′,4′-dihydrospiro[indoline-3,3′-pyrrole]-2′-carboxylate (5i). The product was obtained following the general procedure. White solid. Mp 249–251 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 8.16 (s, 1H), 7.51 (d,  $J = 8.1$  Hz, 1H), 7.43 (d,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 1H), 7.40–7.30 (m, 2H), 7.03 (d,  $J = 4.8$  Hz, 2H), 6.65 (t,  $J = 7.9$  Hz, 1H), 5.80 (d,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 1H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.68 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 174.15, 169.66, 152.58, 142.59, 136.91, 130.33, 130.30, 128.75, 127.27, 126.99, 123.27, 122.41, 109.52, 108.57, 103.09, 92.28, 63.55, 53.91, 51.37, 30.93; IR (KBr, thin film)  $v/cm^{-1}$ : 2925, 2030, 1720, 1605, 1451, 1373, 1270, 1160, 1086, 577; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{22}H_{15}N_4NaO_3Br$  (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>: 485.0220, found: 485.0221;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = 36.7$  (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralpak AD column (hexane– $i$ PrOH = 85/15, 0.8 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, 254 nm),  $t_R$  (major) = 23.8 min,  $t_R$  $(minor) = 34.4 \text{ min}, 89\% \text{ ee}.$ 

(2′R,3R)-Methyl-7-bromo-4′,4′-dicyano-1-methyl-2-oxo-2′ phenyl-2′,4′-dihydrospiro[indoline-3,3′-pyrrole]-2′-carboxylate (5i′). The product was obtained following the general procedure. White solid. Mp 165-167 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 8.09 (s, 1H), 7.67 (d,  $J = 8.3$  Hz, 1H), 7.52 (d,  $J = 7.7$  Hz, 1H), 7.32–7.24 (m, 3H), 7.14–7.09 (m, 3H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.17 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 170.77, 166.68, 154.08, 142.58, 137.56, 134.55, 129.08, 127.98, 126.68, 125.32, 124.53, 122.54, 110.21, 109.34, 103.38, 90.48, 65.29, 53.52, 51.63, 30.28; IR (KBr, thin film)  $v/cm^{-1}$ : 2921, 2851, 2361, 2025, 1729, 1601, 1459, 1361, 1162, 1076, 578; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{22}H_{15}N_4O_3NaBr(M + Na)^+$ : 485.0220, found: 485.0217;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = 15.2$  (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralpak AD column (hexane–  $i\text{PrOH} = 90/10, 0.8 \text{ mL min}^{-1}, 230 \text{ nm}, t_{\text{R}} \text{ (major)} = 22.8 \text{ min},$  $t_{\text{R}}$  (minor) = 15.4 min, 97% ee).

(2′S,3R)-Methyl-4′,4′-dicyano-5-methoxy-1-methyl-2-oxo-2′ phenyl-2′,4′-dihydrospiro[indoline-3,3′-pyrrole]-2′-carboxylate (5j). The product was obtained following the general procedure. White solid. Mp 240-242 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 8.18 (s, 1H), 7.45 (d,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 1H), 7.39 (t,  $J = 7.4$  Hz, 2H), 7.08 (d,  $J = 7.0$  Hz, 2H), 6.94 (dd,  $J = 8.6$ , 2.5 Hz, 1H), 6.87 (d,  $J = 8.6$  Hz, 1H), 5.50 (d,  $J = 2.4$  Hz, 1H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.43 (s, 3H), 3.28 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 173.18, 169.75, 155.22, 152.75, 138.39, 130.59, 130.09, 128.71, 127.39, 120.32, 117.12, 113.90, 109.70, 109.56, 108.80, 91.69, 64.37, 55.45, 53.83, 51.29, 27.00; IR (KBr, thin film)  $v/cm^{-1}$ : 2920, 2851, 1717, 1602, 1564, 1500, 1386, 1206, 1076, 577; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{23}H_{18}N_4NaO_4$  (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>: 437.1220, found: 437.1216;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = 69.0$  (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralpak AD column (hexane–  $i$ PrOH = 85/15, 0.8 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, 254 nm),  $t<sub>R</sub>$  (major) = 41.2 min,  $t_{\text{R}}$  (minor) = 64.6 min, 80% ee). 1721, 1659, 1601, 1544, 1493, 1243, 1008, 595; HRMS (ESI) (2'*R,SR*)-Methyl-7-bromo-4',4'-dispano-1-methyl-2coo-2'<br>
485.0221; [alifornia - 2038 (c 10, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); The canonication of California - San Diego on Diego on Dieg

> (2′R,3R)-Methyl-4′,4′-dicyano-5-methoxy-1-methyl-2-oxo-2′ phenyl-2′,4′-dihydrospiro[indoline-3,3′-pyrrole]-2′-carboxylate (5j′). The product was obtained following the general procedure. White solid. Mp 192-194 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 8.08 (s, 1H),  $7.23-7.19$  (m, 4H),  $7.12$  (d,  $J = 7.4$  Hz, 2H),  $7.06$  $(dd, J = 8.6, 2.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 6.82 \text{ (d, } J = 8.6 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 3.86 \text{ (s, 3H)},$ 3.82 (s, 3H), 2.80 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 169.95, 166.91, 156.52, 154.25, 138.42, 135.13, 128.87, 127.83, 126.69, 120.66, 116.37, 113.87, 110.54, 109.64, 109.61, 90.32, 65.83, 55.94, 53.43, 51.73, 26.36; IR (KBr, thin film)  $v/cm^{-1}$ : 2921, 2850, 2025, 1722, 1600, 1497, 1468, 1364, 1293, 1169, 1076, 577; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{23}H_{18}N_4NaO_4$  (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>: 437.1220, found: 437.1215;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = 21.3$  (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralpak AD column (hexane–*i*PrOH =  $85/15$ , 0.8 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, 254 nm),  $t_{R}$  (major) = 31.6 min,  $t_{R}$  (minor) = 44.6 min, 94% ee).

> (2′S,3R)-Methyl-4′,4′-dicyano-6-methoxy-1-methyl-2-oxo-2′ phenyl-2′,4′-dihydrospiro[indoline-3,3′-pyrrole]-2′-carboxylate (5k). The product was obtained following the general procedure. Red solid. Mp 95-97 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 8.15 (s, 1H), 7.43 (d,  $J = 7.3$  Hz, 1H), 7.36 (t,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 2H), 7.06  $(d, J = 7.7 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H})$ , 6.52  $(d, J = 2.0 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$ , 6.31  $(dd, J = 8.6,$ 2.2 Hz, 1H), 5.82 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 3.28 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 174.08, 169.85,

162.40, 152.86, 146.55, 130.71, 130.06, 128.91, 128.59, 127.24, 110.84, 109.88, 108.89, 106.43, 96.97, 91.22, 64.36, 55.57, 53.78, 51.18, 26.94; IR (KBr, thin film)  $v/cm^{-1}$ : 2957, 2919, 2850, 1763, 1720, 1627, 1598, 1510, 1378, 1146, 1098, 1039, 583; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{23}H_{18}N_4NaO_4$  (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>: 437.1220, found: 437.1221;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = 49.3$  (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralpak AD column (hexane–*i*PrOH =  $85/15$ , 0.8 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, 208 nm),  $t_{\text{R}}$  (major) = 20.5 min,  $t_{\text{R}}$  (minor) = 40.8 min, 96% ee).

(2′R,3R)-Methyl-4′,4′-dicyano-6-methoxy-1-methyl-2-oxo-2′ phenyl-2′,4′-dihydrospiro[indoline-3,3′-pyrrole]-2′-carboxylate (5k′). The product was obtained following the general procedure. White solid. Mp 90–92 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 8.08 (s, 1H), 7.48 (d,  $J = 8.6$  Hz, 1H), 7.28 (d,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 1H), 7.21 (t,  $J = 7.3$  Hz, 2H), 7.14 (d,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 2H), 6.73 (d,  $J = 8.6$  Hz, 1H), 6.46 (s, 1H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 2.79 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 170.77, 167.00, 162.86, 154.24, 146.61, 135.20, 128.79, 127.82, 127.50, 126.61, 110.76, 110.60, 109.68, 107.34, 97.39, 89.68, 65.93, 55.69, 53.38, 51.57, 26.26; IR (KBr, thin film)  $v/cm^{-1}$ : 2921, 2851, 1755, 1722, 1627, 1600, 1509, 1375, 1214, 1095, 582; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{23}H_{18}N_4NaO_4$  (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>: 437.1220, found: 437.1215;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = 35.0$  (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralpak AD column (hexane–  $i$ PrOH = 85/15, 0.8 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, 208 nm),  $t<sub>R</sub>$  (major) = 15.5 min,  $t_{\text{R}}$  (minor) = 17.7 min, 92% ee). 16240, 182.86, 146.55, 130.71, 130.66, 128.91, 128.59, 127.24, Chimpink AD column (because-fre)chi= 70.30, 36 mL, min 1/2<br>
16.84, 169.83, 168.89, 166.43, 66.97, 91.22, 64.36, 55.57, 230 ml,  $(2\pi \mu \mu \text{d} \text{yr}/\text{cm}^2 + 4\pi$ 

(R)-Ethyl-4′,4′-dicyano-1-methyl-2-oxo-4′,5′-dihydro-spiro- [indoline-3,3′-pyrrole]-2′-carboxylate (5l). The product was obtained following the general procedure. White solid. Mp 134–136 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 7.52 (t,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.40 (d,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 1H), 7.19 (t,  $J = 7.7$  Hz, 1H), 7.01 (d,  $J = 7.9$  Hz, 1H), 5.11 (s, 2H), 4.20–4.09 (m, 2H), 3.33 (s, 3H), 1.14 (t,  $J = 7.1$  Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 168.63, 163.77, 158.81, 144.87, 131.84, 125.28, 123.82, 121.21, 112.77, 111.52, 109.54, 70.26, 69.65, 63.01, 43.04, 27.20, 13.64; IR (KBr, thin film)  $v/cm^{-1}$ : 2986, 1727, 1713, 1636, 1612, 1564, 1494, 1374, 1153, 1098, 574; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{17}H_{14}N_4NaO_3$  (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>: 345.0958, found: 345.0943;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = 23.0$  (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralpak AD column (hexane– $i$ PrOH = 85/15, 0.8 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, 254 nm,  $t_R$  (major) = 26.7 min,  $t_R$  (minor)  $= 22.4$  min, 12% ee).

(2′S,3R)-Methyl-4′,4′-dicyano-2′-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-2-oxo-2′,4′-dihydrospiro[indoline-3,3′-pyrrole]-2′-carboxylate (5m). The product was obtained following the general procedure. White solid. Mp 216-218 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 8.15 (s, 1H), 7.42 (t,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H), 6.99–6.94 (m, 3H), 6.90–6.51 (m, 3H), 6.04 (d,  $J = 7.7$  Hz, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 3.30 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 173.52, 170.00, 160.86, 152.44, 145.17, 131.11, 128.68, 128.07, 122.48, 122.20, 119.53, 113.92, 109.82, 109.01, 108.80, 91.33, 64.26, 55.37, 53.79, 51.00, 26.93; IR (KBr, thin film)  $v/cm^{-1}$ : 2918, 2835, 2025, 1718, 1637, 1614, 1512, 1494, 1374, 1260, 1162, 1068, 950, 542; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{23}H_{18}N_4NaO_4$  (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>: 437.1220, found: 437.1237;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = 16.7$  (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with

Chiralpak AD column (hexane–iPrOH =  $70/30$ , 0.8 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, 230 nm),  $t_R$  (major) = 22.9 min,  $t_R$  (minor) = 44.3 min, 92% ee).

(2′R,3R)-methyl-4′,4′-dicyano-2′-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-2-oxo-2′,4′-dihydrospiro[indoline-3,3′-pyrrole]-2′-carboxylate (5m′). The product was obtained following the general procedure. White solid. Mp 232-234 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 8.09 (s, 1H), 7.54 (d,  $J = 7.2$  Hz, 2H), 7.29–7.25 (m, 1H), 7.04 (d,  $J = 7.1$  Hz, 2H), 6.93 (d,  $J = 6.4$  Hz, 1H), 6.74 (d,  $J =$ 7.3 Hz, 2H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 2.87 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 170.19, 167.05, 159.81, 154.07, 145.09, 131.81, 127.94, 126.82, 126.27, 123.71, 119.62, 113.23, 110.51, 109.57, 109.27, 89.85, 65.76, 55.16, 53.43, 51.53, 26.41; IR (KBr, thin film)  $v/cm^{-1}$ : 2922, 2849, 2025, 1730, 1639, 1613, 1512, 1493, 1372, 1254, 1177, 1098, 951, 543; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{23}H_{18}N_4NaO_4$  (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>: 437.1220, found: 437.1232;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = 87.5$  (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralpak AD column (hexane–  $i\text{PrOH} = 80/20, 0.8 \text{ mL min}^{-1}, 230 \text{ nm}$ ,  $t_{\text{R}}$  (major) = 26.1 min,  $t_{\text{R}}$  (minor) = 22.5 min, 89% ee).

Methyl-2′-(4-chlorophenyl)-4′,4′-dicyano-1-methyl-2-oxo-2′,4′ dihydrospiro[indoline-3,3′-pyrrole]-2′-carboxylate (5n/5n′). The product was obtained as an inseparable mixture of 5n and 5n′ following the general procedure. White solid; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ , for **5n**: 8.18 (s, 1H), 7.48–7.39 (m, 1H), 7.33 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 2H), 7.04–6.89 (m, 4H), 6.07 (d,  $J = 7.7$ Hz, 1H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.31 (s, 3H); for 5n′: 7.48–7.39 (m, 1H), 7.33 (d,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 2H), 7.04–6.89 (m, 4H), 6.14 (d,  $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 5.77 (d,  $J = 12.8$  Hz, 1H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.36 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 176.89, 173.14, 169.61, 168.14, 165.55, 158.31, 153.27, 145.12, 144.34, 136.52, 136.29, 133.55, 131.40, 129.96, 129.43, 129.27, 128.84, 128.74, 127.79, 126.32, 123.85, 122.65, 122.64, 119.24, 115.64, 109.48, 109.26, 108.66, 108.62, 90.96, 78.03, 64.11, 62.26, 61.75, 54.01, 53.80, 51.17, 29.70, 27.01, 26.62; IR (KBr, thin film)  $v/cm^{-1}$ : 2921, 2920, 2851, 2050, 2025, 1726, 1634, 1629, 1615, 1546, 1469, 1384, 1357, 1215, 1163, 1075, 1015, 547, 523. HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{22}H_{15}CIN_4NaO_3$  (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>: 441.0725, found: 441.0728; The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralpak AD column (hexane–iPrOH = 80/20, 0.8 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, 230 nm), **5n**:  $t_R$  (major) = 26.6 min,  $t_R$  (minor) = 40.7 min, 96% ee; 5n':  $t_R$  (major) = 19.4 min,  $t_R$  (minor) = 17.4 min, 97% ee.

(2′S,3R)-Methyl-4′,4′-dicyano-1-methyl-2-oxo-2′-phenylspiro- [indoline-3,3′-pyrrolidine]-2′-carboxylate (6a). 5a (38.4 mg, 0.100 mmol) was added into CH<sub>3</sub>CN (1 mL) and H<sub>2</sub>O (50  $\mu$ L), stirred for 10 min, then NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN (12.6 mg, 0.200 mmol) and acetic acid (50 μL) were added and stirred for 2 h at room temperature. After the evaporation of the solvent under vacuum, extracted with EtOAc (5 mL) for three times. The mixture was washed with NaOH (1 M) and brine. And the organic layer was dried over anhydrous  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ . After evaporation of the solvent under vacuum, the residue was separated by flash chromatography over silica gel to give 7a as a white solid. Mp  $162-164$  °C;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = 37.5$  (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 7.57 (d,  $J = 5.8$  Hz, 2H), 7.40–7.32 (m, 2H), 7.27 (dd,  $J = 10.5$ , 4.3 Hz, 2H), 6.96 (d,  $J = 7.9$  Hz, 1H), 6.82 (t,  $J =$ 7.7 Hz, 1H), 6.19 (d,  $J = 7.7$  Hz, 1H), 4.57 (d,  $J = 1.0$  Hz, 1H), 4.04 (d,  $J = 1.0$  Hz, 1H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 3.31 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ: 174.10, 171.41, 144.39, 135.99, 130.75, 129.19, 128.73, 128.62, 127.87, 122.46, 120.83, 113.54, 111.97, 108.68, 75.99, 63.83, 53.28, 44.02, 29.68, 26.77; IR (KBr, thin film) v/cm<sup>−1</sup>: 3395, 1741, 1712, 1611, 1564, 1493, 1472, 1376, 1242, 1098, 577; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{22}H_{19}N_4O_3 (M + H)^+$ : 387.1452, found: 387.1450; The enantiomeric excess was determined by HPLC with Chiralpak AD column (hexane–  $i$ PrOH = 85/15, 0.8 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, 254 nm,  $t<sub>R</sub>$  (major) = 17.4 min,  $t_{\rm R}$  (minor) = 19.2 min, 94% ee).

## Acknowledgements

We thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 20972195, 21172270) and Guangdong Engineering Research Center of Chiral Drugs for the financial supports of this study.

#### Notes and references

- 1 For reviews of 3,3′-pyrrolidinyl-spirooxindole natural products, see: (a) C. V. Galliford and K. A. Scheidt, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 8748; (b) C. Marti and E. M. Carreira, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2003, 2209.
- 2 For reviews of asymmetric synthesis of spirooxindoles, see: (a) R. Rios, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 1060; (b) J. J. Badillo, N. V. Hanhan and A. K. Franz, Curr. Opin. Drug Discovery Dev., 2010, 13, 758; (c) B. M. Trost and M. K. Brennan, Synthesis, 2009, 3003.
- 3 For reviews of organocatalytic cascade reactions, see: (a) C. Grondal, M. Jeanty and D. Enders, Nat. Chem., 2010, 2, 167; (b) D. Bonne, Y. Coquerel, T. Constantieux and J. Rodriguez, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 2010, 21, 1085; (c) B. Westermann, M. Ayaz and S. S. van Berkel, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 2; (d) D. Enders, C. Grondal and

M. R. M. Hüttl, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 1570; (e) G. Guillena, D. J. Ramón and M. Yus, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 2007, 18, 693.

- 4 For the organocatalytic synthesis of spirooxindoles, see: (a) Y.-M. Cao, X.-X. Jiang, L.-P. Liu, F.-F. Shen, F.-T. Zhang and R. Wang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 9124; (b) B. Tan, N. R. Candeias and C. F. Barbas III, Nat. Chem., 2011, 3, 473; (c) K. Jiang, Z.-J. Jia, X. Yin, L. Wu and Y.-C. Chen, Org. Lett., 2011, 12, 2766; (d) M.-N. Cheng, H. Wang and L.-Z. Gong, Org. Lett., 2011, 13, 2418; (e) Y.-B. Lan, H. Zhao, Z.-M. Liu, G.-G. Liu, J.-C. Tao and X.-W. Wang, Org. Lett., 2011, 13, 4866; (f) X.-X. Jiang, Y.-M. Cao, Y. Wang, L.-P. Liu, F.-F. Shen and R. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 15328; (g) X.-H. Chen, Q. Wei, S.-W. Luo, H. Xiao and L.-Z. Gong, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 13819; (h) F. Macaev, N. Sucman, F. Shepeli, M. Zveaghintseva and V. Pogrebnoi, Symmetry, 2011, 165; (i) F.-R. Zhong, X.-Y. Han, Y.-Q. Wang and Y.-X. Lu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 7837; (j) W.-B. Chen, Z.-J. Wu, Q.-L. Pei, L.-F. Cun, X.-M. Zhang and W.-C. Yuan, Org. Lett., 2010, 12, 3132; (k) X.-G. Yin, X.-Y. Liu, Z.-P. Hu and M. Yan, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2012, 10, 1506. Downloaded by University of California - San Diego on 01 September 2012 Published on 11 June 2012 on http://pubs.rsc.org | doi:10.1039/C2OB25629K [View Online](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c2ob25629k)
	- 5 For reviews of the application of isocyanoacetates in cascade reactions, see: (a) A. V. Gulevich, A. G. Zhdanko, R. V. A. Orru and V. G. Nenajdenko, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 5235; (b) A. Domling, Chem. Rev., 2006, 106, 17.
	- 6 (a) H. Y. Kim and K. Oh, Org. Lett., 2011, 13, 1306; (b) M.-X. Xue, C. Guo and L.-Z. Gong, Synlett, 2009, 2191; (c) F. Sladojevich, A. Trabocchi, A. Guarna and D. J. Dixon, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 1710.
	- 7 Z.-W. Zhang, G. Lu, M.-M. Chen, N. Lin, Y.-B. Li, T. Hayashi and A. S. C. Chan, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 2010, 21, 1715.
	- 8 (a) C. Arroniz, G. -A. Gonzalez, V. Semak, C. Escolano, J. Bosch and M. Amat, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2011, 3755; (b) J. Song, C. Guo, P.-H. Chen, J. Yu, S.-W. Luo and L.-Z. Gong, Chem.–Eur. J., 2011, 17, 7786.
	- 9 C. Guo, M.-X. Xue, M.-K. Zhu and L.-Z. Gong, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 3414.
	- 10 D. Monge, K. L. Jensen, I. Marín and K. A. Jørgensen, Org. Lett., 2011, 13, 328.
	- 11 CCDC-859158 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper.